

WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-08-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.SENTHILKUMAR

CRL RC No. 569 of 2022

M.Selvaraj
S/o Marimuthu, Sivan Koil Street,
Poonthottam, Peralam Police Limit,
Nannilam Taluk, Thiruvarur District


..Petitioner(s)

Vs

P.Rajendran
S/o Pavadai Pillai,
Main Road, Veeravadi Village,
Peralam Police Station Limits, Nannilam Taluk,
Thiruvarur District

..Respondent(s)

Criminal Revision Case filed under Sections 397 and 401 Cr.P.C., to call for the records and set aside the judgment dated 09.03.2021 passed in Crl.A.No.27 of 2017 on the file of the Additional Sessions Court (Fast Track Mahila Court), Tiruvarur, confirming the judgment dated 06.06.2017 passed in S.T.C.No.58 of 2016 on the file of the District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate Court, Nannilam.



WEB COPY



For Petitioner(s): Mr.A.Udhayachandiran


For Respondent(s): Ms.K.Nithyaa for
Mr.T.Muthukumar

ORDER

Challenging the judgment dated 09.03.2021 passed in CrI.A.No.27 of 2017 on the file of the Additional Sessions Court (Fast Track Mahila Court), Tiruvarur, confirming the judgment dated 06.06.2017 passed in S.T.C.No.58 of 2016 on the file of the District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate Court, Nannilam, the petitioner has preferred the present criminal revision case.

2. For the sake of convenience, the petitioner and the respondent will be referred to as the accused and the complainant, respectively.

3. A case was initiated by the complainant before the District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate Court, Nannilam, in S.T.C.No.58 of 2016 under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (for brevity “the NI Act”) against the accused and the same was allowed on 06.06.2017 convicting the accused for the offence under Section 138 of the NI Act and sentenced him to undergo nine months simple imprisonment and to pay a compensation of Rs.4,00,000/- within two months from the date of judgment, in default to undergo three months simple imprisonment.




WEB COPY

4. Challenging the aforesaid conviction and sentence, the accused preferred an appeal in Crl.A.No.27 of 2017 before the Additional Sessions Court, (Fast Track Mahila Court), Thiruvavarur, which was dismissed on 09.03.2021 confirming the judgment passed by the trial Court, against which, the accused is before this Court by filing this criminal revision case.

5. The accused and the complainant are present before this Court, who are duly identified by their respective counsel.

6. Today, the learned counsel for the parties submitted that the parties have entered into a joint memorandum of compromise and sorted out the entire issue amicably. They have filed the joint memorandum of compromise before this Court, which was received by the Registry on 03.01.2025.

7. For ready reference, the scanned image of the said joint memorandum of compromise is incorporated herein:



WEB COPY



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
(Criminal Jurisdiction)**

**Crl. R.C. No. 569 of 2022
in
Crl. Appeal No.27 of 2017
(On the file of the Additional Sessions Judge, Fast Track
Mahila Court, Tiruvarur)**

**in
S.T.C. No.58 of 2016
(On the file of the District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate Court,
Nannilam)**

M. Selvaraj/aged 61 years
Son of Marimuthu
Sivan Koil Street
Poonthottam, Peralam Police limit
Nannilam Taluk
Tiruvarur District

..Petitioner/
Appellant/
Convict

-VS-

P. Rajendran/aged 55 years
Son of Pavadai Pillai
Main Road, Veeravadi Village
Peralam Police Station limits
Nannilam Taluk
Tiruvarur District

...Respondent/
Respondent/
Complainant


**JOINT COMPROMISE MEMO FILED BY BOTH THE PETITIONER AND
RESPONDENT**

It is submitted that both the petitioner and respondent had mutually filing this joint compromise memo before this Hon'ble court with respect to the Compromise arrangements entered between both of them.

1. It is mutually agreed between the petitioner and respondent that they had entered into a compromise arrangement to amicably settle the issues involved in this present revision. Accordingly, on 06.08.2022, both the petitioner and the respondent had amicably settled the dispute by entering into a

x P. Rajendran

x M. Selvaraj





WEB COPY

compromise agreement in the presence of the witnesses for an amount of Rs. 3.00 lakhs to be paid to the respondent finally. Out of this 3.00 lakhs, 1 lakh was paid to the respondent by the petitioner on the same day of the execution of the compromise agreement i.e. 06.08.2022 and it is mutually agreed between us that the balance of 2 lakhs has to be paid on or before 30th September 2022.


2. It is submitted that already the compromise agreement entered between the petitioner and the respondent were filed along with the typed set of papers before this Hon'ble court and it was also recorded by this Hon'ble Court. It is further submitted that as per the terms and conditions of the compromise agreement, the balance payment of Rs.2.00 lakhs were paid to the respondent by the petitioner on 29.09.2022 and the issues were entirely settled mutually between the petitioner and the respondent.

Hence, it is most humbly prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to pass suitable order and judgement, by recording the compromise arrangements entered between the petitioner and the respondent, in this present Revision petition filed before this Hon'ble court and to pass such other or further orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper and thus render justice.

x 
PETITIONER


9.8.2022
PETITIONER'S COUNSEL

x 
RESPONDENT


RESPONDENT'S COUNSEL



WEB COPY

Recording the said joint memorandum of compromise, this criminal revision case is allowed. As a sequel, the judgment dated 09.03.2021 passed in Crl.A.No.27 of 2017 on the file of the Additional Sessions Court (Fast Track Mahila Court), Tiruvarur, confirming the judgment dated 06.06.2017 passed in S.T.C.No.58 of 2016 on the file of the District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate Court, Nannilam, are hereby set aside. The joint memorandum of compromise will form part of this order.


17-08-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No


nsd



To
WEB COPY

1.The Additional Sessions Judge,
(Fast Track Mahila Court), Tiruvarur.

2.The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate,
Nannilam.



WEB COPY

Case Citation: (2026) ibclaw.in 4945 HC

CRL RC No. 569 of 2



N.SENTHILKUMAR J.

nsd

CRL RC No. 569 of 2022

17-08-2026