



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20-08-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE V. LAKSHMINARAYANAN

WP CrI. No. 2105 of 2026

Truhome Finance Limited
Rep by its Authorized Officer,
L.Murali,
Branch Office at :No.74/2, Amaka Tower,
TV Samya Road (West),
R.S.Puram,
Coimbatore - 641 002.


..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Commissioner of Police
Coimbatore City Police Commissioner,
Chennai - 600 004.
2. The Superintendent of Police
Coimbatore District,
Coimbatore.
3. The Inspector of Police
Madukkarai Police Station,
Coimbatore District.
4. Priya
5. Chellakaruppu

..Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India seeking for a issuance of a Writ of Mandamus to consider the representation dated 10.06.2026 by directing the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Respondents to render necessary Police assistance to execute the order passed by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Coimbatore in CrI.M.P.No.10968 of 2025 dated 03.02.2026 within a



time frame as may be fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner:

Mr. R.Krishnakumar

WEB COPY For Respondents:

Mr.S.Yogaraja Sekar

Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu
(CrI.side)

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking for the direction to the respondents 1 to 3 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 10.06.2026 and render necessary Police assistance to execute the order passed by the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Coimbatore in CrI.M.P.No.10968 of 2025 dated 03.02.2026.

2. The petitioner had granted loan to the respondents 4 and 5. They defaulted. The petitioner initiated proceedings under the SARFAESI Act. Thereafter, the petitioner moved the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Coimbatore seeking orders under Section 14 of the SARFAESI Act.

3. Considering the pleas made by the petitioner, the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate passed an order on 03.02.2026 in CrI.M.P.No.10968 of 2025, appointing one Mr.Kannan as an Advocate Commissioner to do the following:

- (1) To inspect the petition mentioned property.
- (2) Take inventories.



(3) Take Physical Possession and immediately hand over to the petitioner/secured creditor and

(4) If necessary take assistance of concerned Revenue Officials and the police in executing the warrant.

(5) To break open the door if locked.

4. The petitioner states that the police are not giving the protection as directed in clause (4) of the aforesaid order. Hence, the writ petition.


5. When the matter was taken up, Mr. Yogaraja Sekar, representing the respondents, reported that if a date is fixed by the Advocate Commissioner and he seeks for police protection, the police will afford necessary protection to enable him to implement the order. His submission is recorded.

6. In the event the Advocate Commissioner seeks request for police protection in terms of the order dated 03.02.2026, the police are directed to give protection to him.

This Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly. No costs.

20-08-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
arr



To

WEB COPY

1. The Commissioner of Police
Coimbatore City Police Commissioner,
Chennai - 600 004.
2. The Superintendent of Police
Coimbatore District,
Coimbatore.
3. The Inspector of Police
Madukkarai Police Station,
Coimbatore District.



WEB COPY

Case Citation: (2026) ibclaw.in 4958 HC

WP CrI. No. 2105 of 2



V.LAKSHMINARAYANAN, J.

arr

WP CrI. No. 2105 of 2026

20-08-2026



WEB COPY

Case Citation: (2026) ibclaw.in 4958 HC

WP CrI. No. 2105 of 2

