



CNR: KAHC010560002026

NC: 2026:KHC:43526
WP No. 25357 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 14TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

WRIT PETITION NO.25357 OF 2026 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. LEPAKSHI KNOWLEDGE
HUB PRIVATE LIMITED
REP. BY ITS PROMOTER
CUM MANAGING DIRECTOR,
MR. SAMBASIVAREDDY SRINIVASABALAJI,
HAVING REGISTERED OFFICE
AT SY NO 35/2, 35/1 PART,
1ST CROSS, ANANTHAPURA
SINGANAYAKANAHALLI POST,
YELAHANKA, BANGALORE – 560 064
KARNATAKA.
INCORPORATED UNDER COMPANIES ACT 1956

2. SAMBASIVAREDDY SRINIVASA BALAJI
S/O SAMBASIVA REDDY,
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS,
RESIDING AT VILLA NO 180,
INDU FORTUNE FIELDS,
13TH PHASE, NEAR RAILWAY STATION,
K.P.H.B, KUKATPALLY,
HYDERABAD, TELANGANA 500 072

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. Y. SADASHIVA REDDY, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR
SRI. RAHUL S. REDDY, ADVOCATE)





CNR: KAHC010560002026

NC: 2026:KHC:43526
WP No. 25357 of 2026

AND:

1. THE UNION OF INDIA
REP. BY ITS SECRETARY,
MINISTRY OF CORPORATE AFFAIRS,
KARTAVYA BHAWAN-1,
NEW DELHI 110001.
2. THE REGISTRAR OF COMPANIES,
MINISTRY OF CORPORATE AFFAIRS,
'E' WING, 2ND FLOOR,
KENDRIYA SADANA, KORAMANGALA,
BANGALORE – 560 034,
KARNATAKA.
3. MR. ADITYA KOLLU,
DIN 09760204,
FATHER NAME NOT KNOWN,
AGED NOT KNOWN,
R/A FLAT. NO.301, PLOT NO.71,
SY.NO.47, JYOTHI LAKE VIEW,
MADHAPUR,
HYDERABAD 500 081
4. MR. VENU GOPAL REDDY BOMMIREDDY,
DIN 01251924,
FATHER NAME NOT KNOWN,
AGED NOT KNOWN,
R/A 8-3-222,D-26,
FLAT NO.103,
SRI SAI RAMAS MADHURAM APTS,
MADHURA NAGAR,
HYDERABAD - 500 038

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. ANUPAMA HEGDE, CGC FOR R1 & R2)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226
AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE
A WRIT OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT NO.2 TO



CNR: KAHC010560002026

NC: 2026:KHC:43526
WP No. 25357 of 2026

FORTHWITH TAKE COGNIZANCE OF, AND PASS APPROPRIATE ORDERS ON THE COMPLAINT DATED 29.07.2026 AND 30.07.2026 VIDE ANNEXURE V AND W FILED BY THE PETITIONER, WITHIN A SPECIFIED TIME FRAME AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

ORAL ORDER

1. Notice to respondent Nos.3 and 4 is dispensed with in view of the order proposed to be passed.

2. Petitioners are before this Court seeking for the following reliefs:

"i) *Issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the Respondent No.2 to forthwith take cognizance of, and pass appropriate orders on, the complaint dated 29.07.2026 and 30.07.2026 vide Annexure-V and W filed by the Petitioner, within a specified time frame;*

ii) *Direct the Respondent No.2 to examine and take appropriate action in respect of: the validity of the Board and General Meetings held on 19.03.2026, 25.03.2026, 28.05.2026, 30.05.2026 and 04.06.2026; the resolutions purportedly passed at such meetings; the legality of the issue of CCDs and equity shares; the validity of the alteration of the MoA and AoA; and compliance with statutory notice requirements under Sections 173 and 101 of the Companies Act, 2013 read with Secretarial Standards SS-1 and SS-2 vide Amnnexure – H to H3, M, N1, P and Q.*



CNR: KAHC010560002026

NC: 2026:KHC:43526
WP No. 25357 of 2026

- iii) *Issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the Respondent No.2 not to accept, process, register, or act upon any statutory forms or filings made on behalf of the Petitioner Company in connection with the said Board Meetings and General Meetings, the issuance and allotment of CCDs and equity shares, the alteration of the MoA and adoption of the new AoA, and the appointment of the two new Directors with backdated appointments;*
- iv) *Direct that the status quo as on 01.03.2026 regarding the shareholding of the Petitioner Company be maintained;*
- v) *Grant such other reliefs that this Hon'ble Court deems fit to be granted in the facts and circumstances of the case, in the interest of justice and equity."*

3. The grievance of the petitioners is that respondent Nos.3 and 4 are dealing with the shares of petitioner No.1 without any authority as regard to the complaint that has been filed with respondent No.2 and respondent No.2 not having taken any action, the petitioners are before the Court seeking for the aforesaid reliefs.

4. Ex-facie the dispute is between petitioner No.2 and respondent Nos.3 and 4 as regards to shares in petitioner No.1, which is a private lis between the parties. In that view of the matter, a mandamus cannot be issued to not act on a resolution allegedly passed by the Board or as



NC: 2026:KHC:43526
WP No. 25357 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010560002026

regard to the legality of the CCDs and equity shares which have been issued or to the ROC not to accept process, register or act upon any statutory forms or filings made on behalf of petitioner No.1 - Company in connection with the alleged Board meetings and general meetings.

5. If at all there are private disputes between petitioner No.2 and respondent Nos.3 and 4, it will be for petitioner No.2 to agitate the same before the appropriate authorities.
6. Reserving such liberty, petition stands ***Dismissed***.

Sd/-
(SURAJ GOVINDARAJ)
JUDGE

MDS
List No.: 1 SI No.: 25