



**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
CHANDIGARH BENCH (COURT-I), CHANDIGARH**

CP No. 33/Chd/Hry/2026

[An Application under sub-section (3) of section 252 of the Companies Act, 2013]

In the matter of:

Global Landbase Private Limited and Ors.

Address: Shop No. S-132, First Floor Sahara Mall,
Mehrauli Gurgaon Road, Haryana-122001
PAN No: AADCG5264G

...Applicant Company

Versus

Registrar of Companies, Chandigarh

Corporate Bhavan, 1st Floor, Plot No. 4-B Madhya Marg,
Sector 27B, Chandigarh - 160019
Email: roc.chandigarh@mca.gov.in

...Respondent No.1

Income Tax Department,

Shankar Chowk Road, Udyog Vihar Industrial Area
Phase-5 Gurgaon, Haryana-122016

...Respondent No. 2

Order pronounced on: 20.08.2026

**CORAM: MR. KHETRABASI BISWAL, HON'BLE MEMBER (JUDICIAL)
MR. SHISHIR AGARWAL, HON'BLE MEMBER (TECHNICAL)**

Appearance:

For the Applicant Company	: Ms. Megha Aggarwal, FCS
For the RoC, Haryana	: Mr. Vijaya Simha Reddy, Deputy ROC
For the Income Tax Dept.	: Mr. Varun Issar, Sr. Standing Counsel



ORDER

The present Application has been filed by **Global Landbase Private Limited and Ors.** (hereinafter referred to as the Applicant Company) under sub-section (3) of section 252 of the Companies Act, 2013 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act') read with Rule 87A of the National Company Law Tribunal Rules, 2016 (hereinafter referred to as the Rules) praying for restoration of the name of the Applicant Company on the Register of Companies maintained by the Registrar of Companies, NCT of Delhi and Haryana (hereinafter referred to as 'RoC/ Respondent').

FACTS:

2. The Applicant Company, erstwhile, having CIN: U45400HR2007PTC037057 was incorporated under the Companies Act, 1956 on 24.07.2007, and its Registered office situated at Shop No. S-132, 1st Floor, Sahara Mall, Mehrauli Gurgaon Road, Haryana-122001. The Applicant Company is having its Authorised Share Capital of the Company is Rs. 1,00,00,000/- (Rs. One Crore) divided into 10,00,000 (Ten Lacs) Equity Shares of Rs.10/- (Rs. Ten) each. The Applicant Company is engaged in the business of real estate development, construction, and infrastructure projects, including residential and commercial buildings, townships, housing complexes, roads, airports, bridges, and other civil works. It also undertook land acquisition, development, leasing, sale, and maintenance of properties, while executing projects under various development and build-operate-transfer schemes.



3. The Applicant Company inadvertently missed to file Annual Returns and Financial Statements and was not carrying any business or operation for a period of two immediately preceding financial years and has not made any application within such period for obtaining the status of dormant company under section 455 of the Companies Act, 2013.

4. The Applicant Company states that in the event of revival of the Company and restoration of the name of the Company in the Register maintained by the Respondent No.1, the Applicant Company shall file all outstanding statutory documents i.e., Financial Statements & Annual Return along with the requisite standard and additional filing fees.

REPORT OF THE REGISTRAR OF COMPANIES:

5. The Respondent No.1, filed its report on 02.07.2026 stating that the Applicant Company was incorporated on 24.07.2007. The RoC stated that the Applicant Company had not been carrying on any business or operations for the two immediately preceding financial years and had not made any application for obtaining the status of a dormant company under Section 455 of the Companies Act, 2013. Therefore, the name of the Applicant Company was struck off pursuant to the notice issued in Form STK-5 dated 27.04.2017, followed by Form STK-7 dated 30.06.2017. RoC, submitted that since the Applicant Company had not filed statutory documents, the name was struck off.



REPORT OF THE INCOME TAX DEPARTMENT:

6. The Respondent No.2, filed its report on 02.07.2026, submitting that, as per the ITBA records, **no outstanding income tax demand or proceedings are pending against the Applicant Company.** The Ld. Sr. Standing Counsel, Mr. Varun Issar for Income Tax Department submitted before this Tribunal on 10.07.2026 that the Department has **no objection if the name of the Company is restored.**

ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS:

7. Heard the submissions made by the Ld. Counsel for the Applicant Company, Ld. Standing Counsel Mr. Varun Issar for the Income Tax Department and the Dy. RoC, for the Registrar of Companies and gone through the material available on record, and also gone through the extant provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 and rules made thereunder.

8. It is to be noted that the sub-section (3) of section 252 of the Act, inter alia, provides that the Tribunal is required to be satisfied before exercising jurisdiction to restore the name of the Company on the Register of the Registrar of Companies that Company was at the time of its name being struck off, was either carrying on business or was in operation, or otherwise it is just that the name of the Company be restored to the Register of Registrar of Companies.

9. Learned Counsel for the Applicant Company submitted that the name of the Applicant Company was struck off on account of not carrying on any



business or operations for the two immediately preceding financial years and for not having made any application for obtaining the status of a dormant company under Section 455 of the Companies Act, 2013. According to the Applicant Company, the default in filing the statutory documents was neither deliberate nor intentional and had occurred because the business project did not materialise, resulting in the cessation of its business activities.

10. Upon consideration of the material available on record, this Tribunal finds that the Applicant Company has failed to establish a case for restoration of its name to the Register of Companies. It is evident that, at the time of filing the present application, the Applicant Company was not carrying on any business or commercial operations. As noted in the report of the Registrar of Companies, there is no material to establish that the Applicant Company had filed its Annual Returns after the financial year 2013, a fact which has also been admitted by the Directors of the Applicant Company and no justifiable reason has been advanced for this default. Apart from the ownership of land, no cogent evidence has been produced to demonstrate that the Applicant Company was carrying on business or was otherwise in operation at the time its name was struck off or at the time of filing the present petition. Further, the Applicant Company has failed to disclose any concrete proposal or business plan indicating how its business activities would be revived upon restoration of its name. In these circumstances, the Tribunal is not satisfied that the Applicant Company has established that it was carrying on business or was otherwise in operation at the relevant time, or that any other just and equitable



ground exists for restoration of its name to the Register of Companies under Section 252(3) of the Companies Act, 2013.

11. In light of the above discussion, the **Company Petition bearing No. 33/Chd/Hry/2026** is **rejected** and **disposed of**.

Sd/-

(SHISHIR AGARWAL)
MEMBER (TECHNICAL)

Sd/-

(KHETRABASI BISWAL)
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)
Divya