

IN THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
NEW DELHI BENCH, COURT-III

C.A. No. 179/2026

IN

CP-183(ND)/2025

IN THE MATTER OF CP-183(ND)/2025:

Seema Bansal

... Applicant

VERSUS

Jagson International Limited & Ors.

... Respondents

AND IN THE MATTER OF C.A. No. 179/2026:

Under Rule 11 of the NCLT Rules, 2016

MR. PRADEEP GUPTA

... Applicant

VERSUS

1. SEEMA BANSAL

D/o Late Sh. Jagdish Pershad Gupta

R/o 1/60, West Punjabi Bagh, New Delhi-110026

... Respondent No. 1

2. JAGSON INTERNATIONAL LTD.

Office:

3rd Floor, Vandana Building, 11,

Tolstoy Marg, New Delhi-110001

... Respondent No. 2

3. SARDAR MUDGAL SINGH

R/o 47-A, DDA LIG Flats, Madipur, Delhi-110063

... Respondent No. 3

4. ISHITA GUPTA

D/o Pradeep Gupta

R/o B-2/11, Safdarjung Enclave, New Delhi-110029

... Respondent No. 4

C.A. No. 179/2026 IN CP-183(ND)/2025

Date of Order: 24.08.2026

Page 1 of 4

5. RAVINDER KAUR HORA

D/o Shri. Inder Singh Hora

R/o K-48, Jangpura Extension, New Delhi-110011 ... **Respondent No. 5**

6. MEENU JAIN

D/o Late Sh. Jagdish Pershad Gupta

R/o 34, Alipur Road, Civil Lines, Delhi-110054 ... **Respondent No. 6**

7. REGISTRAR OF COMPANIES

Office at:

4th Floor, IFCI Tower, 61,

Nehru Place, New Delhi-110019 ... **Respondent No. 7**

8. MINISTRY OF CORPORATE AFFAIRS

(Through Regional Director)

Office at:

B-2 Wing, 2nd floor, Pt. Deendayal Antyodaya Bhawan,

2nd floor, CGO Complex, New Delhi – 110003 ... **Respondent No. 8**

Order Pronounced On: 24.08.2026

CORAM:

**SHRI BACHU VENKAT BALARAM DAS,
HON'BLE MEMBER (JUDICIAL)**

**SHRI RAVINDRA CHATUVEDI,
HON'BLE MEMBER (TECHNICAL)**

PRESENT:

For the Applicant : Mr. Karan Gandhi, Mr. Kunal Kalra, Mr.
Shikhar Tiwari, Advs

For the Respondent(s) : Ms. Roopsee Pandita, Ms. Anisha, Mr. Pulkit
Mehta, Advs. for R-6 (Meenu Jain).

ORDER

PER: B.V. BALARAM DAS, MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

1. The Applicant, Mr. Pradeep Gupta, has filed the present Application under Rule 11 of the NCLT Rules, 2016, seeking directions in relation to the proceedings dated 06.07.2026 in C.P. No. 183 of 2025, with the following prayers:
 - “a) Take on record and record the submission made by the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the Petitioner during the course of hearing on instructions held on 06.07.2026, to the effect that the alleged FSL Report had been received and that the signatures appearing on the disputed Will were not matching;*
 - b) Direct that the complete audio/video recording of the proceedings conducted on 06.07.2026 be preserved and retained by the Registry of this Hon'ble Tribunal so that the same may be produced before the appropriate forum, if so required in accordance with law;*
 - c) pass such other and further order(s) as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case and in the interest of justice.”*
2. It is submitted that during the hearing on 06.07.2026, the learned Senior Counsel for the Petitioner allegedly stated that the FSL Report had been received and that the signatures on the Will relied upon by Respondent No. 2 (Applicant herein) did not match the admitted signatures of the deceased, which statement was not recorded. The Applicant submits that the statement is significant because the criminal investigation was then pending and the alleged FSL Report had neither been disclosed nor placed on record, and that it may have a bearing on the connected probate/succession and criminal proceedings. Accordingly, the Applicant seeks that the statement be taken on record and the audio/video recording of the proceedings dated 06.07.2026 be preserved.

ORDER:

3. We have considered the present Application and the reliefs sought therein. The Applicant essentially seeks that an alleged statement made during the course of the hearing on 06.07.2026 be taken on record and that the proceedings of that date be preserved for reliance in the connected proceedings.
4. It may be noted that Company Petition bearing No. 183 of 2025 was dismissed vide order dated 24.08.2026. In view of the dismissal of the said Company Petition, we are not inclined to entertain the present Application.
5. Accordingly, the present Application is ***dismissed***.

No order as to costs.

SD/-

(RAVINDRA CHATURVEDI)
MEMBER (TECHNICAL)

SD/-

(BACHU VENKAT BALARAM DAS)
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)