IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL No.________ OF 2026
(Arising out of SLP(Criminal) No.18879/2025)

SATISH DIXIT Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH & ANR. Respondent(s)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.

2. The appellant has called in question the order passed by the High Court declining to quash the charge-sheet and the cognizance order passed by the learned Special Judge (SC/ST Act), Auraiya in connection with FIR No.1144 of 2022 registered with Police Station Auraiya, District Auraiya for offences punishable under Sections 147, 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 394, 511, 504, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 3(2)(v) of the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant was lending money to the respondent no.2, Pappu Valmiki, at regular intervals and, at one point in time, respondent

no.2 owed a total sum of Rs.6,00,000/- to the appellant. In repayment of the said loan amount, the respondent no.2 issued a cheque of Rs.6,00,000/- in favour of the appellant, which was dishonoured when the same was presented for encashment. This led to the filing of a complaint against respondent no.2 for an offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (for short, the 'NI Act').

4. Subsequently, the respondent no.2 paid the amount of Rs.7,55,000/- through Bank Draft No. 00177 issued by the Central Bank of India, Khanpur Branch, Auriya and a compromise was entered between the parties on 17.12.2025 which has been accepted by the concerned Court. A copy of the compromise is placed on record by way of an application bearing I.A. No.224573/2026 seeking permission to file additional documents.

5. In the present matter, the respondent no.2 lodged an FIR on 26.12.2022 alleging that, from the year 2015 onwards till 10.08.2022, the appellant had fraudulently withdrawn a total sum of Rs.40,00,000/- from

his account and had threatened, criminally intimidated and used foul language with caste-related slurs.

6. We have perused the FIR and other related papers in the charge-sheet, including the statement of the complainant's wife recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, in which she stated that her husband had taken loans on interest from the present appellant many times and that the appellant was doing business of lending money on interest. She also stated that, if the money was not returned on time, the appellant would get signatures made on cheques and keep their ATM cards with him.

7. Respondent no.2 has filed copies of his bank statement of account in an application bearing I.A. No. 224443 of 2026, which is an application seeking permission to file additional documents, a perusal of which demonstrate that from the year 2015 onwards, respondent no.2 has been issuing cheques of different amounts ranging from Rs.10,000/- to Rs.1,00,000/- at regular intervals. The total payment made to the appellant during all these

years would come to Rs.2,71,700/-. Similarly, some payments have also been made in the name of Maa Pitambra Mobile from April, 2021 onwards amounting to Rs.1,27,614/-.

8. On perusal of the Bank statement of account of respondent no.2, it clearly reflects that the respondent no.2 owed money to the appellant, which has been repaid through cheques and UPI payments. Thus, the whole story mentioned in the FIR that the appellant had fraudulently withdrawn Rs.40,00,000/- is not at all reflected from the bank statement of account of respondent no.2. Moreover, if the fraud was to such an extent covering huge amount of Rs.40,00,000/-, it was surprising as to why the respondent No.2 kept silent for about 07 years before lodging the FIR in the year 2022.

9. It is all the more surprising that, if the respondent no.2 had been defrauded of a sum of Rs.40,00,000/- during the period from 2015 to 2022, in respect of which an FIR was lodged on 26.12.2022, why did respondent no.2 agree to make a payment of Rs.7,55,000/- to the appellant on 17.12.2025, i.e., nearly three

years after lodging the FIR.

10. Considering the above broad features of the case, we are of the considered view that the allegations made in the FIR and the ingredients thereof appear to be highly improbable, particularly in the light of the compromise entered into between the parties in the proceedings initiated by the present appellant against respondent no.2 for the offence punishable under Section 138 of the NI Act. Allowing the trial to continue would amount to subjecting the appellant to the trauma of a trial in which there is no evidence of fraudulent withdrawal of Rs.40,00,000/- from his account.

11. At this stage, learned counsel for the appellant would submit that the respondent no.2 did not compromise in the present case, fearing withdrawal of an amount of Rs.75,000/-, granted to him by the State Government under a welfare scheme, wherein, in case a member belonging SC/ ST category is cheated, the State Government offers compensation.

12. Without commenting on the above aspect of the matter, we deem it appropriate to

quash FIR No.1144 of 2022, registered with Police Station Auraiya, District Auraiya, against the appellant and all subsequent proceedings, including the charge-sheet, the cognizance order and the criminal proceedings arising therefrom.

13. The appeal stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

14. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

.......................J.
(PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA)

.......................J.
(SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR)

New Delhi
04-08-2026

ITEM NO.8 COURT NO.13 SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 18879/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 14-10-2025 in CRLA No. 9661/2024 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad]

SATISH DIXIT Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH & ANR. Respondent(s)

IA No. 301791/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT
IA No. 301790/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 301789/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 04-08-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR

For Petitioner(s) :
Mr. Prathvi Raj Chauhan, AOR
Mr. Venkatesh Rajput, Adv.
Mr. Hanumant Singh, Adv.
Mr. Vipul Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Mayank Kaushik, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Ankit Goel, AOR

Mr. Sarvesh Singh, Adv.
Ms. Sarika Singh, Adv.
Mr. Durgesh Kumar Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Ajeet Yadav, AOR
Mr. Prabhash Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Upadhayay, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.

2. The appeal stands disposed of in terms

of the signed order.

3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(NISHA KHULBEY)
COURT MASTER(SH)

(AKSHAY KUMAR BHORIA)
COURT MASTER(NSH)

(signed order is placed on the file)